

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/401,874	09/23/1999	FERDINAND ENGEL	00124/024001	5265	
23483	7590 09/24/2002				
HALE AND DORR, LLP			· EXAMINER		
60 STATE STREET BOSTON, MA 02109			LE, DIEU MINH T		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2184		
			DATE MAILED: 00/24/2002	DATE MAILED: 09/24/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

M



FILING DATE

APPLICATION NUMBER

ORM PTOL 303 (REV. 2/98)



FIRST NAMED APPLICANT

## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

	FYAMINED
	EXAMINER
	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER
	DATE MAILED:
Below is a communi COMMIS:	ication from the <i>EXAMINER</i> in charge of this application SIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
	ADVISORY ACTION
THE PERIOD FOR REPLY:	1
	the date of the f <del>inal Office action</del> (including extensions of time granted).
<ul> <li>b) expires either (1) three months from the whichever is later. In no event, however the final Office action.</li> </ul>	e mail date of the final Office action, <b>or</b> (2) on the mail date of this Advisory Action, er, will the statutory period for reply expire later than six months from the mail date of
ctension fee have been filed is the date for purpo ne appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17 riginally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set	
Appellant's Brief is due in accordance with 37	
Applicant's reply to the final rejection, filed to place the application in condition for allowa	
The proposed amendment to the claim and/o	or specifications will not be entered and the final rejection stands because:
<ul> <li>a.    There is no convincing showing under 37 earlier presented.</li> </ul>	CFR 1.116(b) why the proposed amendment is necessary and was not
b. They raise new issues that would require	further consideration and/or search. (See Note).
c. They raise the issue of new matter. (See	Note).
d. They are not deemed to place the application for appeal.	ation in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues
e.   They present additional claims without ca	ancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
NOTE: The proposed amends  frouble chooting instead  include a processor  change the stope of the change the stuffed tension  2. Newly proposed of amended claim  amendment cancelling the non-allowable	ment to claims 1, 11, 12, 21 and limitations ( d of identity failure): claims 12, 30 / host computer . execute by raid processor cause said computer laims. These lithotomic were never previous presented we idenation and scarch— would be allowed if submitted in a separately filed eclaims.
3. Upon the filing an appeal, the proposed a will be as follows:	amendment $\square$ will be entered $ ot\!$
Claim allowed: NONE  Claims objected to: NONE  Claims rejected: 1 - 3 /  However;	
_	rejection(s):
	part of the contract of the co
4. All The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconstructions given in	sideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because
The affidavit or exhibit will not be consider presented.	ered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier
Dieseilled.	